### STATE OF THE UNION

## FACT SHEET

### SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIALS

- Annex A -- Proposed Tax Rates for Married Taxpayers
- Annex B -- Proposed Tax Rates for Single Taxpayers
- Annex C -- Six-Point Electric Utility Proposal
- Annex D -- Tables on Effects of Tax Reduction Proposal
- Annex E -- Potentially Qualified Labor Market Areas

Tax Rate Schedule for President's
Tax Reduction Proposals
(Married Taxpayers Filing Jointly)

Tax	able income :	Present rates	Proposed rates	Proposed rates
	bracket :		for 19 <u>76</u>	for 1977
_	4:	<b>.</b> . •/	10 %	10 %
0	\$ 1,000	14 %	13 %	12 %
1,000	2,000	15	14.5	14
2,000	3,000	16	15.5	15
3,000	4,000	17	16	15
4,000	6,000	19	17.5	16
6,000	8,000	19	18	17
8,000	10,000	22	21.5	21
10,000	12,000	22	22	22
12,000	16,000	25	25	25
16,000	20,000	<b>28</b>	28.5 <u>1</u> /	29 <u>1</u> /
20,000	24,000	32	33 <u>1</u> /	$34 \overline{1}/$
24,000	28,000	36	36	36
28,000	32,000	39	<b>3</b> 9	39
32,000	36,000	42	42	42
36,000	40,000	. 45	45	45
40,000	44,000	48	48	48
44,000	52,000	50	50	50
52,000	64,000	53	53	53
64,000	76,000	55	55	. 55
76,000	88,000	58	58	58
88,000	100,000	60	60	60
100,000	120,000	62	62	62
120,000	140,000	64	64	64
140,000	160,000	66	66	66
160,000	180,000	68	68	68
180,000	200,000	69	69	69
200,000		70	70	70

**January 12, 197**6

1/ While two rates are increased in the higher brackets, taxpayers with income taxed in those brackets will benefit from rate reductions in the lower brackets so that on balance the changes in rates reduce taxes even for those affected by the increased rates.

Annex B

Tax Rate Schedule for President's
Tax Reduction Proposals
(Single Taxpayers)

Тах	able income	Present rates	:Proposed rate	s: Proposed rates
	bracket	<u> </u>	: for 1976	: for 1977
	\$ 500	14 %	13 %	12 %
5 0	•	15	13 % 14	13
500	1,000	16	15.5	15
1,000	1,500	17	16	15
1,500	2,000	17	17.5	16
2,000	3,000	19	18	17
3,000	4,000	21	19.5	18
4,000	5,000	21	20	19
5,000	6,000 8,000	24	22.5	21
6,000	10,000	25	24.5	24
8,000	12,000	27	27	27
10,000	14,000	29	29	29
12,000 14,000	16,000	31	31	31
16,000	18,000	34	34	34
18,000	20,000	36	36	36
20,000	22,000	38	38	38
22,000	26,000	40	40	40
26,000	32,000	45	45	45
32,000	38,000	50	50	50
38,000	44,000	55	55	55
44,000	50,000	60	60	60
50,000	60,000	62	62	62
60,000	70,000	64	64	64
70,000	80,000	66	66	66
80,000	90,000	68	68	68
90,000	100,000	69	69	69
100,000		70	70	70

#### SIX POINT ELECTRIC UTILITY PROPOSAL

- -- increase the investment tax credit permanently to 12 percent;
- -- permit immediate investment tax credit on progress payments for construction;
- -- extend the five-year amortization provision for pollution control facilities;
- -- permit five-year amortization of the costs of converting or replacing petroleum-fueled facilities;
- -- permit a utility to elect to begin depreciation of accumulated construction progress expenditures during the construction period;
- -- permit shareholders to postpone tax on dividends paid by the utility by electing to take additional common stock in lieu of cash dividends.

The provisions regarding the investment tax credit and depreciation would apply only if the tax benefits are "normalized" for rate-making purposes.

Annex D

#### TABLES

- 1. Revenue Losses of Individual Income Tax Reduction Compared to 1974 Law
- 2. Total Tax Liability Under Various Tax Laws
- 3. Income Distribution of Liability Under President's Proposal for 1977 Compared with Revenue Adjustment Act Unextended
- 4. Distribution of Tax Liabilities Under President's Proposal for 1976 Compared with Revenue Adjustment Act Unextended by Size of Adjusted Gross Income
- Distribution of Tax Liabilities Under President's Proposal for 1977 Compared with Revenue Adjustment Act Extended by Size of Adjusted Gross Income
- 6. Distribution of Tax Liabilities Under President's Proposal for 1976 Compared with Revenue Adjustment Act Extended by Size of Adjusted Gross Income
- 7. Comparison of Individual Income Tax Provisions
- 8. Tax Liabilities Under Various Tax Laws for Single Person Without Dependents, with Itemized Deduction of 16 Percent of Adjusted Gross Income
- 9. Tax Liabilities Under Various Tax Laws for Family with No Dependents, Filing Jointly with Itemized Deductions of 16 Percent of Adjusted Gross Income
- 10. Tax Liabilities Under Various Tax Laws for Family with 1 Dependent, Filing Jointly with Itemized Deductions of 16 Percent of Adjusted Gross Income
- 11. Tax Liabilities Under Various Tax Laws for Family with 2 Dependents, Filing Jointly with Itemized Deductions of 16 Percent of Adjusted Gross Income
- 12. Tax Liabilities Under Various Tax Laws for Family with 4 Dependents, Filing Jointly with Itemized Deductions of 16 Percent of Adjusted Gross Income
- 13. Projected Poverty Levels Compared to Tax-Free Income Levels
  - Note: In these tables "Revenue Adjustment Act Unextended" refers to the full-year tax liability change enacted by the Revenue Adjustment Act of 1975, and "Revenue Adjustment Act Extended" refers to a doubling of the Revenue Adjustment Act changes to permit continued use of present withholding tax tables through 1976.

Table 1

Revenue Losses of Individual Income Tax Reduction Compared to 1974 Law (1976 Levels of Income)

					ions)		
		:	Revenue Adjustment Act - unextended	: : :	Revenue Adjustment Act - extended	: : President's : proposal : for : 1976	: President's proposal for 1977
1.	Standard Deduction		-1.8		-3.9	-4.1	-4.2
2.	Personal Exemption Deduction		-		-	-5.4	-10.6
3.	Per Capita Exemption/ Taxable Income Tax Credit		-4.9		-9.5	-4.6	-
4.	Rate Reductions		-		<b>-</b>	-3.6	-6.8
5.	Earned Income Credit $\frac{1}{2}$		<u>-0.7</u>		1.4	0.7	
	Total		-7.4		-14.9	-18.5	-21.6
	Total excluding earned income credit $\frac{2}{}$		-6.7		-13.5	-17.8	-21.6

<sup>1/</sup> Includes outlay portion.

<sup>2/</sup> Revenue loss of tax liability changes that affect withholding tax tables.

Table 2

Total Tax Liability Under Various Tax Laws
(1975 Levels of Income)

			(\$ millions)	)		
_	:		Revenue :	Revenue :	President's :	President's
Adjusted gross			: Adjustment :	Adjustment :	proposed :	proposed
income class	: law :	law 1/	: Act unextended:	Act extended:	1976 law :	1977 law
(\$000)						
Up to 0	44	44	44	44	44	44
0 - 5	2,000	1,165	1,430	998	872	775
5 - 10	14,069	11,514	12,247	10,391	9,702	9,102
10 - 15	23,122	21,099	21,536	19,818	18,563	17,609
15 - 20	23,706	21,944	22,381	21,066	20,264	19,520
20 - 30	28,022	26,782	27,148	26,216	25,470	24,714
30 - 50	16,950	16,579	16,696	16,430	16,174	15,913
50 - 100	12,064	11,962	11,995	11,923	11,803	11,681
100 or over	9,445	9,425	9,431	9,416	9,385	9,354
TOTAL	129,422	120,514	122,906	116,303	112,366	108,711

January 15, 1976

 $<sup>\</sup>underline{1}$ / Includes effect of home purchase credit.

Table 3

Income Distribution of Liability Under President's Proposal for 1977 Compared with Revenue Adjustment Act Unextended

(1975 Levels of Income)

	: Total of	tax liability	: Tax Cut Ca	used by the Preside	ent's proposal for 1977
Adjusted gross income class	Revenue Adjustment Act	President's proposal for 1977	Amount	Percent distribution	: As percent of tax : under Revenue : Adjustment Act : unextended
(\$000)	( \$ ъ	illions)	(	percent	)
Up to 5	1.5	0.8	0.7	4.6%	44.4%
5 - 10	12.2	9.1	3.1	22.2	25.7
10 - 15	21.5	17.6	3.9	27.7	18.2
15 - 20	22.4	19.5	2.9	20.2	12.8
20 - 30	27.1	24.7	2.4	17.1	9.0
30 - 50	16.7	15.9	0.8	5.5	4.7
50 - 100	12.0	11.7	0.3	2.2	2.6
100 +	9.4	9.4	0.1	0.5	0.8
TOTAL	122.9	108.7	14.2	100.0	11.5

Office of the Secretary of the Treasury
Office of Tax Analysis

January 12, 1976

Table 4

Distribution of Tax Liabilities Under President's Proposal for 1976 Compared with Revenue Adjustment Act Unextended by Size of Adjusted Gross Income (1975 Levels of Income)

	:Total t	ax liability	Tax cut caus	ed by President's	proposal for 1976
Adjusted gross income class	: Revenue : Adjustment Act : unextended	: law	: Λmount	Percent distribution	:As percent of tax :under Revenue Ad- :justment Act extend
(\$000)	( \$ b	illions)	(	percent	)
Up to 5	1.5	0.9	0.6	5.3%	37.8%
5 - 10	12.2	9.7	2.5	24.1	20.8
10 - 15	21.5	18.7	2.9	27.3	13.4
15 - 20	22.4	20.3	2.1	20.1	9.5
20 – 30	27.1	25.5	1.7	15.9	6.2
30 - 50	16.7	16.2	0.5	5.0	3.1
50 - 100	12.0	11.8	0.2	1.8	1.6
100 +	9.4	9.4	*	0.4	0.5
TOTAL	122.9	112.4	10.5	100.0	8.6

January 6, 1976

Table 5

Distribution of Tax Liabilities Under President's Proposal

for 1977 Compared with Revenue Adjustment Act Extended
by Size of Adjusted Gross Income

(1975 Level of Income)

	. Total tax 1	iability	Tax cut	caused by the Presid	dent's proposal for 1977
Adjusted gross income class	Revenue : Adjustment : Act extended	President's proposal for 1977	: Amount	Percent distribution	: As percent of tax under : Revenue Adjustment Act : extended
(\$000)	(			)	
Up to 5	1.0	0.8	•2	2.9%	21.4%
5 - 10	10.4	<b>9.</b> 1	1.3	17.0	12.4
<b>1</b> 0 <b>-</b> 15	19.8	17.6	2.2	29.1	11.1
15 - 20	21.1	19.5	1.5	20.4	7.3
20 - 30	26.2	24.7	1.5	19.8	5.7
30 - 50	16.4	15.9	0.5	6.8	3.1
50 - 100	11.9	11.7	0.2	3.2	2.0
100 +	9.4	9.4	0.1	0.8	0.7
r <b>otal</b>	116.3	108.7	7.6	100.0	6.5
Office of the Secr	retary of the Trea	sury			January 12, 1976

Office of the Secretary of the Treasury
Office of Tax Analysis

Table 6

Distribution of Tax Liabilities Under President's Proposal for 1976 Compared with Revenue Adjustment Act Extended by Size of Adjusted Gross Income (1975 Level of Income)

	Total tax	liability	: Tax cut	caused by the Pres	ident's proposal for 1977
Adjusted gross income class		: President's : proposal for : 1976	: Amount	Percent distribution	: As percent of tax under : Revenue Adjustment Act : Extended
(\$000)	(	§ billions		) (	percent
Up to 5	1.0	0.9	0.1	3.2%	12.1%
5 - 10	10.4	9.7	0.7	17.5	6.6
10 - 15	19.8	18.7	1.2	29.6	5.9
15 - 20	21.1	20.3	0.8	20.4	3.8
20 - 30	26.2	25.5	0.7	18.9	2.8
30 - 50	16.4	16.2	0.3	6.5	1.6
50 - 100	11.9	11.8	0.1	3.0	1.0
100 +	9.4	9.4	0.03	0.8	0.3
<b>Y</b> TAL	116.3	112.4	3.9	100.0	3.4

January 19, 1976

Table 7

Comparison of Individual Income Tax Provisions

	; ; ;	1974 Law		: Act - : Act : unextended 1/:	ue Adjustment : E extended $\frac{2}{}$ :	resident's : proposal : for 1976 :	President proposal for 1977
1.	Standard Deduction			•			
	(a) Minimum standard						
	Single returns	\$1,300	\$1,600	\$1,500	¢1 700	41 750	
	Joint returns	\$1,300	\$1,900	\$1,700	\$1,700 \$2,100	\$1,750 \$2,300	\$1,800 \$2,500
	(b) Percentage standard	15%	16%	16%	16%	16%	· •
	(c) Maximum standard						
	Single returns	\$2,000	\$2,300	\$2,200	\$2 <b>,400</b>	40 100	
	Joint returns	\$2,000	\$2,600	\$2,400	\$2,800	\$2,100 \$2,650	\$1,800 \$2,500
2.	Personal Exemption Deduction	<b>\$7</b> 50	<b>\$750</b>	<b>\$7</b> 50	\$750	\$875	\$1,000
3.	Tax Credit						
	(a) Per capita	None	\$30	\$1 <b>7.</b> 50	\$35	\$17.50	None
	(b) Percent of taxable income	None	None	1% up to \$90	<b>2</b> % up to <u>\$</u> <b>1</b> 80	1% up to \$90	None
٠.	Rate Reductions	None	None	None	None	See Annex	See Annex
5.	Earned Income Credit	None	10% up t	o \$400 5% up to \$200	10% up to \$400	5% up to \$200	None (
<b>.</b>	Home purchase credit	None	5% of <b>v</b> a up to \$2	1,0110	None	None	None

 $<sup>\</sup>frac{1}{2}$  Full-year tax liability change enacted by Revenue Adjustment Act of 1975. Doubling of Revenue Adjustment Act changes to remain the second second

<sup>2/</sup> Doubling of Revenue Adjustment Act changes to permit continued use or present withholding tax tables through 1976. These provisions are actually contained in the Act but will be inoperative without further legislation.

Tax Liabilities Under Various Tax Laws for Single Person Without Dependents, With Itemized Deduction of 16 Percent of Adjusted Gross Income 1/

Adjusted	:	:	Tax L	iability		
gross income class	1972-74 1aw	: 1975 : 1aw <u>2</u> /	: Revenue : Adjustment : Act	: Revenue Ad- :justment Ad- : extended	-: Proposed et: 1976 : law	Proposed: 1977: law
\$ 5,000	\$ 490	\$ 404	\$ 425	\$ 363	\$ 334	\$ 307
7,000	. 889	796	800	<b>7</b> 14	677	. 641
10,000	1,506	1,476	1,430	1,331	1,278	1,227
15,000	2,589	2,559	2,499	2,409	2,358	2,307
20,000	3,847	3,817	3,757	3,667	3,609	<b>3,</b> 553
25,000	5,325	. 5,295	5,235	5,145	5,080	<b>5,0</b> 15
30,000	6,970	6,940	6,880	6,790	6,722	<b>6,</b> 655
40,000	10,715	10,685	10,625	10,535	10,455	10,375
50,000	15,078	15,048	14,988	14,897	14,811	14,725

<sup>1/</sup> If standard deduction exceeds itemized deduction, family uses standard deduction.

<sup>2/</sup> Assumes that taxpayer is not eligible for the Home Purchase Credit.

Table 9

Tax Liabilities Under Various Tax Laws for Family with No Dependents, Filing Jointly with Itemized Deductions of 16 Percent of Adjusted Cross Income  $\underline{1}/$ 

Adjusted	:	:	Tax	Liability		
gross income class	1972-74 1aw	: 1975 : 1aw <u>2</u> /	: Revenue : Adjustmer : Act	: Revenue Ad- nt:justment Act : extended		: Proposed : 1977 : 1aw
\$ 5,000	\$ 322	\$ 170	\$ 225	\$ 130	\$ 88	\$ 60.
7,000	658	492	548	448	387	<b>33</b> 5
10,000	1,171	1,054	1,084	948	872	800
15,000	2,062	2,002	1,972	1,882	1,827	<b>1,</b> 750
20,000	3,085	3,025	2,995	2,905	2,842	2,780
25,000	4,240	4,180	4,150	4,060	4,006	3,950
30,000	5,564	5,504	5,474	. 5,384	5,358	<b>5,3</b> 28
40,000	8,702	8,642	8,612	8,522	8,481	8,444
50,000	12,380	12,320	12,290	12,200	12,140	12,080

<sup>1/</sup> If standard deduction exceeds itemized deduction, family uses standard deduction.

<sup>2/</sup> Assumes that taxpayer is not eligible for the Home Purchase Credit.

Table 10

Tax Liabilities Under Various Tax Laws for Family with 1 Dependent, Filing Jointly with Itemized Deductions of 16 Percent of Adjusted Gross Income  $\underline{1}/$ 

Adjusted	:	:	Tax Lia			
gross income class	1972-74 law	: 1975 : 1aw <u>2</u> /	: Revenue : : Adjustment:j : Act :	Revenue Ad- ustment Act extended		: Proposed : 1977 : law
\$ 5,000	\$ 207	<b>\$</b> 29	\$ 95	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
7,000	526	336	406	289	234	190
10,000	1,028	882	949	820	726	640
15,000	1,897	1,807	1,807	1,717	1,635	1,535
20,000	2,897	2,807	2,807	2,717	2,624	2,530
25,000	4,030	3,940	3,940	3,850	3,757	3,660
30,000	5,324	5,234	5,234	5,144	5,070	4,988
40,000	8,406	8,316	8,316	8,226	8,140	8,054
50,000	12,028	11,938	11,937	11,847	11,739	11,630

Office of the Secretary of the Treasury Office of Tax Analysis January 13, 1976

## 1/ If standard deduction exceeds itemized deduction, family uses standard deduction.

Assumes that taxpayer is not eligible for the Home Purchase Credit. Also assumes that taxpayer is not eligible for the Earned Income Credit. Taxpayers maintaining a home in the United States for a dependent child are eligible for the Earned Income Credit (EIC) if they earn less than \$8,000 and if their adjusted gross income is less than \$8,000. If the effects of the EIC were included, the table would have these entries (negative entries represent direct payments to the taxpayer):

<u> AGI</u>	1975 Law	Revenue Adjustment <u>Act</u>	Revenue Ad- justment Act Extended	Proposed 1976 Law
\$5,000	- \$271 ·	<b>-</b> \$55	-\$300	- \$150
\$7,000	+ \$236	\$356	\$189	+ \$184

Tax Liabilities Under Various Tax Laws for Family with 2 Dependents, Filing Jointly with Itemized Deductions of 16 Percent of Adjusted Gross Income 1/

Table 11

Adjusted			Tav	Liability		
gross income class	1972-74 law	1975 1aw <u>2</u> /	: Revenue : Adjustmer : Act	: Revenue Ad- nt:justment Ad : extended	-	: Propose : 1977 : 1aw
\$ 5,000	\$ 98	\$ 0	0	0	\$ 0	\$
7,000	402	186	\$ <b>268</b> .	\$ 135	89	61
10,000	886	709	79 <b>7</b>	651	555	48.
15,000	1,732	1,612	1,642	1,552	1,446	1,32
20,000	2,710	2,590	2,620	2,530	2,405	2,280
25,000	3,820	3,700	3,730	3,640	3,507	3,37
30,000	5,084	4,964	4,994	4,904	4,781	4,648
40,000	8,114	7,994	8,024	7,934	7,799	7,66
50,000	11,690	11,570	11,600	11,510	11,345	11,180

Office of the Secretary of the Treasury Office of Tax Analysis

- $\underline{1}$ / If standard deduction exceeds itemized deduction, family uses standard deduction.
- Assumes that taxpayer is not eligible for the Home Purchase Credit.
  Also assumes that taxpayer is not eligible for the Earned Income Credit.
  Taxpayers maintaining a home in the United States for a dependent child are eligible for the Earned Income Credit (EIC) if they earn less than \$8,000 and if their adjusted gross income is less than \$8,000. If the effects of the EIC were included, the table would have these entries (negative entries represent direct payments to the taxpayer):

<u>AGI</u>	1975 Law	Revenue Adjustment Act	Revenue Ad- justment Act Extended	Proposed 1976 Law
\$5,000	- \$300	-\$150	-\$300	- \$150
\$7,000	+ \$ 86	\$218	\$35	+ \$ 39

Tax Liabilities Under Various Tax Laws for Family with 4 Dependents, Filing Jointly with Itemized Deductions of 16 Percent of Adjusted Gross Income 1/

Table 12

Adjusted		:	Tax	Liability		
gross income class	1972-74 1aw	: 1975 : 1aw <u>2</u> /	: Revenue : Adjustmen : Act	: Revenue Ad t:justment A : extended	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	: Propose : 1977 : law
\$ 5,000	\$ 0	<b>\$</b> 0	0	0	\$ 0	\$ (
7,000	170	0	7	0	0	
10,000	603	372	\$ 481	\$ 308	240	190
15,000	1,402	1,222	1,297	1,192	1,078	965
20,000	2,335	2,155	2,230	2,125	1,966	1,816
25,000	3,400	3,220	3,295	3,190	3,002	2,830
30,000	<b>4,</b> 604	4,424	4,499	4,394	4,191	4,008
40,000	7,529	7,349	7,424	7,319	7,101	6,896
50,000	11,015	10,835	10,910	10,805	10,542	10,280

Office of the Secretary of the Treasury Office of Tax Analysis

January 13, 1976

Assumes that taxpayer is not eligible for the Home Purchase Credit. Also assumes that taxpayer is not eligible for the Earned Income Credit. Taxpayers maintaining a home in the United States for a dependent child are eligible for the Earned Income Credit (EIC) if they earn less than \$8,000 and if their adjusted gross income is less than \$8,000. If the effects of the EIC were included, the table would have these entries (negative entries represent direct payments to the taxpayer):

<u>AGI</u>	1975 Law	Revenue Adjustment <u>Act</u>	Revenue Ad- justment Act <u>Extended</u>	Proposed 1976 Law
\$5,000	- \$300	-\$150	-\$300	- \$150
\$7,000	- \$100	-\$43	-\$100	- \$ 50

<sup>1/</sup> If standard deduction exceeds itemized deduction, family uses standard deduction.

Table 13 Projected Poverty Levels  $\underline{1}/$  Compared to Tax-Free Income Levels  $\underline{2}/$ 

	<u> </u>	1975		1976			1977	
	Poverty level	: Tax-free : income		Revenue Ad- justment Act Extended.	Tax-free income :Revenue Ad- :justment Ac :!Unextended	President's	Poverty level	: Tax-free : income :President's : proposal
Single person	\$2,790	\$ 2,560	\$2,970	\$2,380	\$2700	\$2,760	\$3,150	\$2,800
Married couple:			•	·				
No dependents	3,610	3,830	3,840	3,450.	4100	4,320	4,080	4,500
1 dependent	4,300	4,790	4,570	4,320,	5100	5,330	4,850	5,500
2 dependents	5,500	5,760	5,850	5,200	6100	6,340	6,200	6,500
3 dependents	6,490	6,720	6,900	6,080	7080	7,350	7,320	7,500
4 dependents	7,300	7,670	7,770	6,980	8070	8,360	8,240	8,500
Single person, over	2,580	3,310	2,740	3,120	3450	3,640	2,910	3,800
Couple, both over 65	3,260	5,330	3,460	4,950	5600	6,070	3,670	6,500

<sup>1/</sup> Assuming these annual values of the consumer price index (1967 equals 100):

<sup>1975 -- 161</sup> 

<sup>1976 -- 172</sup> 

<sup>1977 -- 182</sup> 

<sup>2/</sup> Taxpayers not eligible for earned income credit.

# POTENTIALLY QUALIFIED LABOR MARKET AREAS

Labor Market	Unemployment Rate
Nabama	
Anniston	13.0
Birmingham	7.6
Florence	11.4
Gadsden	13.5
Huntsville .	. 9. 2
Maska	7. 0
Anchorage*	7.0
rizona Phoenix	10.9
Tucson	7.9
Arkansas	0.2
Fayetteville-Springdale	8, 3
Fort Smith	9.3 8.4
Pine Bluff	0.4
California Anaheim-Santa Ana-Garden Grove	8,3
Bakersfield	8.4
	9.1
Fresno Los Angeles-Long Beach	9. 9
Modesto	13.6
Oxnard-Simi Valley-Ventura	8.6
Riverside-San Bernardino-Ontario	11.6
Sacramento	9.0
Salinas-Seaside Montercy	8,4
San Diego	10.3
San Francisco-Oakland	9.9
San Jose	8.5
Santa Barbara-Santa Maria-Lompoc	7.4
Santa Cruz	11.3
Santa Rosa	12.1
Stockton	9.9
Connecticut	12.0
Bridgeport	14, 7
Bristol	10,6
Danbury	
Hartford	1 3. i
Meriden	12, 9
New Britain New Haven-West Laven	0.7
New London-Norwich	7.7
	8, 5
Norwalk Stamford	7.3
Stannord	12.1

<sup>\*</sup>Eligibility in question pending release of December 1975 Labor Statistics

Labor Market	Unemployment Rate
Delaware	
Wilmington	<b>9.</b> 6
District of Columbia	8.1
Florida	
Daytona Beach	11.5
Fort Lauderdale-Hollywood	15.4
Fort Myers	12.7
Jacksonville	7.4
Lakeland-Winter Haven	10.9
Melbourne-Titusville-Cocoa	14.5
Miami	10.9
Orlando	11.8
Pensacola	8.3
Sarasota	12.8
Tampa-St. Petersburg	11.3
West Palm Beach-Boca Raton	13.2
Georgia	
Albany	8.7
Atlanta	9.6
Augusta	7. 9
Columbus	7.5
Macon	8.4
Savannah	8.3
Illinois	
Chicago	8.6
Decatur	9.5
Kankakee	9.7
Rockford	10.5
Indiana	
Anderson	11.0
Bloomington	10.2
Evansville	7.8
Fort Wayne	9.8
Gary-Hammond-East Chicago	7.8
Indianapolis	7.4
Muncie	10.5
South Bend	7.5
Iowa	
Dubuque	7.4
Kentucky	
Louisville	8.1
Owensboro	8.8

Labor Market	Unemployment Rate
Louisiana	11.2
Alexandria	11.2
Lake Charles	9.6
Monroe	9 <b>.</b> 5
New Orleans	8.2
Shreveport	9.2
Maine	
Lewiston-Auburn	10.3
Portland	8.2
Maryland	
Baltimore	8.5
Massachusetts	
Boston	12.0
Brockton	12.3
Fall River	13.3
Fitchburg-Leominster	14.7
Lawrence-Haverhill	14.0
Lowell	12.8
New Bedford	15.3
Pittsfield	11.5
Springfield-Chicopee-Holyoke	12.4
Worcester	12.3
Michigan	
Ann Arbor	12.3
Battle Creek	11.9
Bay City	13.3
Detroit	14.6
Flint	15.3
Grand Rapids	11.2
Jackson	11.3
Kalamazoo-Portage	10.1
Lansing-East Lansing	11.8
Muskegon-Norton Shores-Muskegon Heights	14.5
Saginaw	11.3
Minnesota	0.0
Duluth-Superior	8.9
Mississippi	7.0
Biloxi-Gulfport*	1.0
Missouri	8.1
Kansas City	8.6
St. Louis	0.0
Montana	7.9
Great Falls	1 • 7
Nebraska	7.7
Omaha	(, (

<sup>\*</sup>Eligibility in question pending release of December 1975 Labor Statistics

Labor Market	Unemployment Rate
Nevada	
Las Vegas	10.7
Reno	8. 2
New Gampshire	
Manchester	8.2
New Jersey	10.7
Atlantic City	10.7 12.3
Jersey City	8.6
Long Branch-Asbury Park	
Newark	10.3
New Brunswick-Perth Amboy-Sayreville	9.2
Paterson-Clifton-Passaic	11.7
Trenton	7.6
Vineland-Millville-Bridgeton	13.6
New Mexico	7.0
Albuquerque	7.9
New York	8.2
Albany-Troy-Schenectady	8.3
Binghamton	13.6
Buffalo	
Elmira	10.1
Nassau-Suffolk	8.1
New York	11.2
Rochester	8.0
Syracuse Utica-Rome	9.8 10.7
N. J. C. J.	
North Carolina	10.2
Asheville	9.4
Burlington	9.0
Charlotte-Gastonia	<b>7.</b> 0
- C. L. H. J. Deink	8.4
Greensboro-Winston-Salem-High Point	8.9
Wilmington	<b>0.</b> /
Ohio	
Akron	8.8
Canton	8.6
Cincinnati	7.9
Cleveland	7.7
Dayton	$i \cdot i$
Hamilton-Middletown	11.6
Lima	9.0
Lorain-Elyria	8.7
Mansfield	10.3
Spring field	8.6
Toledo	9.6
	10.5
Youngstown-Warren	
Oregon	11.6
Youngstown-Warren  Oregon  Eugene-Springfield  Portland	11.6 9.5

Labor Market	Unemployment Rate
Pennsylvania Allentown-Bethlehem-Easton	8.0
•	9. 2
Altoona	8.7
Erie	<b>5.</b> ·
Northeast Pennsylvania	10.4
Philadelphia	10.2
Pittsburgh	8.6
Williamsport	9.6
York	8.1
Rhode Island	
Providence-Warwick-Pawtucket	14.5
South Carolina	9•4
Charleston	8.0
Columbia	10.1
Greenville-Spartanburg	‡ <b>0.</b> I
Tennessee	7,6
Clarksville-Hopkinsville	7.6
Memphis	7.3
Nashville-Davidson	(.)
Texas •	8.6
Beaumont-Port Arthur-Orange	11.3
Brownsville-Harlingen-San Benito	7.5
Corpus Christi	10.2
El Paso	16.8
Laredo	7.8
Longview	10.6
McAllen-Pharr-Edinburgh	8.8
San Antonio	11.9
Sherman-Denison	9.2
Texarkana	7.9
Tyler	·
Waco	8.1
Utah	7.9
Provo-Orem	7.4
Salt Lake City-Ogden	7 · · · ·
Vermont	10.0
Virginia	7.5
Lynchburg	1, 3
Washington	
Seattle-Everett	9.2
Spokane	9.0
Tacoma	9.8
Yakima -	9.9

7.5
10.3 7.9
. 8.4 8.1

ż

•