

The original documents are located in Box 3, folder: “Testimony, February 17, 1975, House Interstate and Foreign Commerce Committee - Subcommittee on Energy and Power” of the Frank Zarb Papers at the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library.

Copyright Notice

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. Frank Zarb donated to the United States of America his copyrights in all of his unpublished writings in National Archives collections. Works prepared by U.S. Government employees as part of their official duties are in the public domain. The copyrights to materials written by other individuals or organizations are presumed to remain with them. If you think any of the information displayed in the PDF is subject to a valid copyright claim, please contact the Gerald R. Ford Presidential Library.

STATEMENT OF FRANK G. ZARB

ADMINISTRATOR

FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION

BEFORE THE

SUBCOMMITTEE ON ENERGY AND POWER

INTERSTATE AND FOREIGN COMMERCE COMMITTEE


UNITED STATES HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

FEBRUARY 17, 1975

I APPRECIATE THE OPPORTUNITY TO APPEAR BEFORE YOU TODAY
TO DISCUSS THE ADMINISTRATION'S PROPOSALS FOR DEALING WITH
THE NATION'S ENERGY PROBLEMS.

I'M SURE THAT WE ALL AGREE THAT THE PRESENT ENERGY
SITUATION REQUIRES BROAD, DECISIVE AND PROMPT GOVERNMENT
ACTION TO PREVENT CONTINUED EROSION OF OUR ECONOMIC VITALITY
AND NATIONAL SECURITY. THE CHALLENGE WE JOINTLY FACE IS TO
PROMPTLY IMPLEMENT A COORDINATED NATIONAL ENERGY POLICY WHICH
RESTORES OUR ENERGY INDEPENDENCE.

THE SCOPE OF THE TASK SUGGESTS ITS WIDE-RANGING AND LONG-
LASTING SIGNIFICANCE. THE LIVES OF THE AMERICAN PEOPLE --
INDEED, THOSE OF THE PEOPLE OF MUCH OF THE WORLD -- WILL BE
SERIOUSLY AFFECTED BY WHAT WE DO, OR FAIL TO DO, IN THE DAYS



4
AHEAD. AND THEY WILL NOT BE AFFECTED JUST FOR FIVE OR TEN
YEARS, BUT FOR GENERATIONS TO COME.

OUR ECONOMIC SYSTEM IS STRONG AND RESILIENT. HOWEVER,
THE IMPACT ON OTHER COUNTRIES MUCH MORE DEPENDENT ON OIL IMPORTS
HAS BEEN CORRESPONDINGLY GREATER. THE UNITED STATES CAN BE
PROFOUNDLY AFFECTED BY SEVERE ECONOMIC CRISIS ABROAD. WE
MUST SHOW OUR LEADERSHIP AMONG THE INDUSTRIALIZED NATIONS
AND DEMONSTRATE OUR WILLINGNESS TO TAKE THE HARD AND EXPENSIVE
STEPS IN ENERGY CONSERVATION AND DEVELOPMENT OF NEW ENERGY
RESOURCES. THE PRESIDENT'S PROGRAM IS AN OUTSTANDING EXAMPLE
TO OTHER COUNTRIES OF AMERICA'S DETERMINATION TO REVERSE THE
TREND TOWARDS DEPENDENCY. REDUCING OUR VULNERABILITY TO
SUPPLY INTERRUPTION AND PRICE MANIPULATION MUST BE GIVEN THE
HIGHEST PRIORITY.

THE PRESIDENT HAS PRESCRIBED TOUGH ACTION TO CURE OUR ENERGY ILLS. HE HAS OUTLINED THREE, TIME-PHASED GOALS.

ONE: IN THE SHORT-TERM, A CUT IN OUR OIL IMPORTS OF 1 MILLION BARRELS PER DAY BY THE END OF THIS YEAR AND OF 2 MILLION BARRELS PER DAY BY THE END OF 1977.

TWO: BY 1985, IMPORTS OF NO MORE THAN 3-5 MILLION BARRELS PER DAY -- AND THE CAPABILITY OF IMMEDIATELY REPLACING THAT AMOUNT FROM STORAGE AND STANDBY MEASURES IN THE EVENT OF A SUPPLY DISRUPTION.

THREE: ACCELERATED DEVELOPMENT OF ENERGY TECHNOLOGY AND RESOURCES SO THAT THE UNITED STATES CAN MEET A SIGNIFICANT SHARE OF THE ENERGY NEEDS OF THE FREE WORLD BY THE END OF THIS CENTURY.

ACTIONS TO MEET THE SHORT-TERM GOAL

IN THE FIRST CRUCIAL YEARS, THERE ARE ONLY A LIMITED NUMBER OF ACTIONS THAT CAN INCREASE DOMESTIC SUPPLY. WE MUST DEVELOP AND INCREASE PRODUCTION FROM THE ELK HILLS, CALIFORNIA, NAVAL PETROLEUM RESERVE. THE PRESIDENT HAS SUBMITTED LEGISLATION FOR THIS PURPOSE.

THE ADMINISTRATION HAS ALSO SUBMITTED A SET OF COMPREHENSIVE AMENDMENTS TO THE ENERGY SUPPLY AND ENVIRONMENTAL COORDINATION ACT OF 1974 TO ULTIMATELY INCREASE THE NUMBER OF OIL BURNING FACILITIES THAT CAN BE CONVERTED TO COAL IN THE COMING YEAR.

THESE ARE THE ONLY SUPPLY ACTIONS THAT CAN HAVE MUCH EFFECT DURING THE NEXT TWO TO THREE YEARS. THEREFORE, WE MUST

RELY HEAVILY ON ENERGY CONSERVATION AND IT IS CLEAR THAT VOLUNTARY CONSERVATION MEASURES ARE NOT ADEQUATE TO DO THE JOB. WE CANNOT WAIT MONTHS OR YEARS FOR LONG-TERM CONSERVATION MEASURES TO ACHIEVE OUR NATIONAL GOALS. THEREFORE, AS YOU KNOW, THE PRESIDENT HAS RAISED THE COST OF ALL IMPORTED PETROLEUM PRODUCTS BY IMPOSING A \$3 PER BARREL IMPORT FEE AS A FIRST STEP TO REDUCING DEMAND. THIS FEE BEGAN FEBRUARY 1 AND WILL BE APPLIED IN THREE CONSECUTIVE MONTHLY \$1 INCREMENTS. THE REVENUES RAISED THEREBY WILL BE RETURNED TO THE ECONOMY THROUGH THE PRESIDENT'S RECOMMENDED TAX PROGRAM.

I WANT TO EMPHASIZE THAT THESE INCREASED IMPORT FEES ARE ONLY TEMPORARY AND WILL BE ADJUSTED TO \$2 WHEN CONGRESS ENACTS THE PRESIDENT'S COMPREHENSIVE TAX LEGISLATION WHICH INCLUDES

AN EXCISE TAX OF \$2 PER BARREL ON ALL CRUDE OIL AND PETROLEUM PRODUCTS.

TO EASE THE IMPACT ON REGIONS HEAVILY DEPENDENT ON IMPORTED PETROLEUM PRODUCTS, SUCH AS NEW ENGLAND AND THE NORTHEAST STATES, THE PRESIDENT'S PROGRAM PROVIDES FOR A MUCH LOWER FEE RATE ON PRODUCTS THAN ON CRUDE OIL.

IN ADDITION, A PROPOSED EXCISE TAX OF 37¢ PER THOUSAND CUBIC FEET ON ALL NATURAL GAS WOULD BE EQUIVALENT TO THE \$2 OIL EXCISE TAX, AND WOULD, WITH DEREGULATION OF NATURAL GAS AS PROPOSED BY THE ADMINISTRATION, SERVE TO REVERSE THE TREND OF DWINDLING NATURAL GAS RESERVES, UNEMPLOYMENT DUE TO CURTAILMENTS, AND PREVENT INDUSTRIAL SWITCHING FROM OIL TO ALREADY SCARCE NATURAL GAS.

ADDITIONALLY, THE PRESIDENT WILL TAKE STEPS TO ADMINISTRATIVELY DECONTROL THE PRICE OF OLD DOMESTIC CRUDE OIL ON APRIL 1.

ACCORDINGLY, CONGRESSIONAL ENACTMENT OF THE WINDFALL PROFITS TAX BY THAT TIME IS URGENTLY REQUIRED TO PREVENT EXCESS PROFITS ACCRUING TO THE INDUSTRY. HOWEVER, CARE MUST BE TAKEN NOT TO INHIBIT THE NEEDED AMOUNT OF CAPITAL REQUIRED TO FIND AND DEVELOP NEW OIL AND OTHER ENERGY SOURCES.

A PROGRAM OF INCOME TAX REDUCTIONS AND REBATE MEASURES TO RETURN TO THE ECONOMY THE ROUGHLY \$30 BILLION ESTIMATED TO BE RAISED THIS YEAR THROUGH THESE PROVISIONS HAS BEEN PROPOSED.

MOST OF THIS MONEY IS TO BE RESTORED DIRECTLY TO CONSUMERS, WITH SPECIAL MEASURES TO PROVIDE FUNDS FOR THE POOR.

THE USE OF IMPORT FEES, EXCISE TAXES AND DECONTROL OF OIL PRICES TO FOSTER LARGE-SCALE ENERGY CONSERVATION HAS ATTRACTED MUCH ATTENTION AND CRITICISM.

I WOULD LIKE, THEREFORE, TO SPEND A FEW MOMENTS DISCUSSING ALTERNATIVES. FIRST, THERE IS THE ALTERNATIVE OF DOING NOTHING.

NO ACTION ONLY POSTPONES THE TOUGH DECISIONS WE HAVE TO MAKE.

WITHOUT CONSERVATION, OUR TAB FOR IMPORTED OIL, WHICH WAS \$3

BILLION IN 1970, AND \$24 BILLION LAST YEAR (1974), WOULD REACH

\$32 BILLION IN 1977. A BRIEF RESPITE OF A YEAR OR SO WILL ONLY

INCREASE THE VULNERABILITY OF THE WORLD TO A CRIPPLING EMBARGO

BY THE PRODUCERS.

THE ARAB EMBARGO OF 1973 RESULTED IN A SIGNIFICANT DROP IN OUR GROSS NATIONAL PRODUCT AND THE UNEMPLOYMENT OF PERHAPS

ONE-HALF MILLION MEMBERS OF OUR LABOR FORCE. YET TODAY, EVEN MORE OF OUR IMPORTS ARE COMING FROM AFRICA AND THE MIDDLE EAST THAN DID A YEAR AGO. NOW OVER HALF OF OUR PETROLEUM IMPORTS COME FROM SOURCES OUTSIDE OF THE WESTERN HEMISPHERE. AND, UNLESS WE DO SOMETHING, THIS DEPENDENCE ON AFRICAN AND MIDDLE EASTERN SOURCES WILL CONTINUE TO GROW. BY 1977 IMPORTS WILL REACH 8 MILLION BARRELS PER DAY, AS COMPARED WITH 6 DURING THE LAST EMBARGO. BECAUSE ALL OF THE INCREASE WILL COME FROM INSECURE SOURCES, WE MAY WELL BE JUST AS VULNERABLE AS WE WERE LAST WINTER. THIS IS SIMPLY UNACCEPTABLE.


EVERY MONTH WE HESITATE WILL MAKE IT THAT MUCH HARDER TO ACHIEVE OUR 1985 GOALS. THOSE WHO SAY ACTION IS TOO EXPENSIVE SHOULD REFLECT ON THE FUTURE COST TO THE NATION IF WE DO NOT ACT EXPEDITIOUSLY.

THERE ARE THOSE WHO BELIEVE THAT RAISING PRICES OF ENERGY AT HOME WILL NOT HELP US CUT BACK ON CONSUMPTION. THEY ARE WRONG. WHILE A COMPARISON OF OUR PRESENT CONSUMPTION WITH THAT OF LAST YEAR'S SHOWS THAT WE ARE ACTUALLY USING SLIGHTLY MORE NOW, MORE IMPORTANTLY, WE ARE USING MUCH LESS THAN WE WOULD IF PRICES HAD NOT RISEN 400 PERCENT IN THE LAST YEAR. THIS IS A CLEAR DEMONSTRATION OF PRICE ELASTICITY OF DEMAND, OR CONSUMPTION OF CERTAIN ITEMS DECREASING AS THEIR PRICES RISE RELATIVE TO OTHER PRICES. PRESENT CONSUMPTION WOULD HAVE BEEN AT LEAST 1 MILLION BARRELS A DAY MORE IF PRICES HAD NOT RISEN SO SHARPLY. FURTHERMORE, ALTHOUGH THE CARTEL HAS CUT BACK ON PRODUCTION BY ABOUT 9 MILLION BARRELS A DAY, THERE IS STILL A SURPLUS OF OIL

ON THE WORLD MARKET. THERE IS CONCRETE EVIDENCE ALL AROUND US THAT PRICE IS INDEED EFFECTIVE IN REDUCING DEMAND.

THE OTHER ALTERNATIVE TO INACTION IS THE GREATER USE OF GOVERNMENT CONTROLS -- WHETHER IMPORT QUOTAS, ALLOCATION SYSTEMS OR RATIONING, OR ON ANOTHER LEVEL, SUNDAY CLOSINGS OF GASOLINE STATIONS, NO DRIVING DAYS, ETC. WE LOOKED AT ALL OF THOSE LAST YEAR DURING THE EMBARGO. WE CHOSE SOME AND REJECTED OTHERS. AND OUR REASONING WAS GOOD FOR A SHORT-TERM CRISIS. WE NOW FACE A LONGER-TERM ONE. EACH OF THESE ALTERNATIVES WOULD INVOLVE SOME FORM OF SELF-IMPOSED SHORTAGES AS WELL AS BUILT-IN INEFFICIENCIES, BURGEONING BUREAUCRACIES AND REGULATORY PROLIFERATION AND DISRUPTIONS IN THE LIVES OF ALL AMERICAN CITIZENS. AND REMEMBER, TO BE EFFECTIVE CONTROLS MUST BE IN PLACE FOR A


LONG-TERM OF UP TO TEN YEARS. I DOUBT THAT THE AMERICAN PEOPLE WOULD BE WILLING TO PUT UP WITH SUCH ALTERNATIVES NOR SHOULD WE SUBJECT THEM TO THIS LONG LASTING PERVASIVE CONTROL OVER ALMOST EVERY ASPECT OF THEIR LIVES. FURTHERMORE, MOST OF THE CONTROLS WOULD INVOLVE HIGHER COSTS TO EVERYONE. GASOLINE TAXES, FOR EXAMPLE, WOULD HAVE TO BE INCREASED ABOUT 40¢ PER GALLON TO SAVE 1 MILLION BARRELS OF OIL PER DAY. INSTEAD, THE CRUDE OIL PRICE INCREASE, DISTRIBUTED ACROSS ALL OF THE PRODUCTS FROM A BARREL OF OIL, WILL RAISE THE PRICE OF GASOLINE ABOUT 10¢ TO 15¢ PER GALLON. THIS SEEMS A MORE EFFECTIVE AND MORE EQUITABLE SOLUTION.



I THINK IT'S UNNECESSARY FOR ME TO DWELL ON THIS AT ANY GREATER LENGTH. SUFFICE IT TO SAY, WE SHOULD ALLOW THE FREE MARKET TO WORK TO THE MAXIMUM EXTENT POSSIBLE. THIS IS WHAT THE ENERGY CONSERVATION TAXES AND FEES WOULD DO. AND THE REBATES WOULD ASSURE NO SIGNIFICANT LOSS OF CONSUMER PURCHASING POWER OR ECONOMIC IMPACT.

I KNOW THAT THIS COMMITTEE IS PARTICULARLY CONCERNED WITH THE EFFECTS OF THE DECONTROL OF OIL PRICES AND PRESENTLY HAS BEFORE IT A BILL TO TEMPORARILY FREEZE DOMESTIC OIL PRICES. THE SIMPLE FACT IS THAT WE CANNOT GET THE JOB DONE - WE CANNOT SUFFICIENTLY REDUCE DEMAND OR INCREASE SUPPLIES WITHOUT THE INCENTIVES DECONTROL AND A RETURN TO A FREE MARKET WILL BRING. WE ESTIMATE THAT DECONTROL WOULD

RESULT IN A SAVINGS OF 335,600 BARRELS OF OIL PER DAY THIS
YEAR. FURTHER, OUR CURRENT CONTROLS DO NOT PROVIDE ADEQUATE
INCENTIVES TO INCREASED DOMESTIC SUPPLIES, PARTICULARLY
WITH RESPECT TO OIL PRODUCED FROM SECONDARY AND TERTIARY
RECOVERY METHODS AND EXEMPTED STRIPPER WELLS. THE PRESENT
POLICY ENCOURAGES PRODUCERS TO LET THEIR WELLS DECLINE FROM 15
TO 16 BARRELS A DAY TO UNDER 10 BARRELS, THEREBY QUALIFYING FOR
THE EXEMPTION AND PRICED AS NEW OIL. PRODUCERS ACTUALLY
MAKE MONEY BY SUFFERING A PRODUCTION DECLINE. AFTER
DECONTROL THE PRICE FOR ALL OIL WILL BE THE SAME, THUS
ELIMINATING THE INEFFICIENCIES OF THE TWO-TIER PRICING SYSTEM.
AND KEEP IN MIND, CONCURRENT ENACTMENT BY CONGRESS OF THE
PRESIDENT' TAX PROPOSALS WILL PREVENT "EXCESS" PROFITS FOR



THE INDUSTRY AND RETURN ALL THESE PROFITS COLLECTED BY
REDUCED PERSONAL AND BUSINESS TAX RATES.

ALSO, AS I'M SURE YOU ALL ARE AWARE OF THE DIFFICULTIES
IN ADMINISTERING A COST EQUALIZATION PROGRAM, PARTICULARLY WHEN
APPROXIMATELY 75% OF THE OUTPUT OF THE MAJOR OIL COMPANIES IS
CONTROLLED, WHILE THE INDEPENDENTS ONLY HAVE 25% OF THEIR OIL
CONTROLLED. ALTHOUGH THE PRESENT SYSTEM IS EQUITABLY
ADMINISTERED, IT DOES NOT RESULT IN THE MOST EFFICIENT OPERATION
OF THE PETROLEUM INDUSTRY. IF WE ARE TO ACCOMPLISH THE TASK
BEFORE US, WE MUST ALLOW THE FREE MARKET SYSTEM TO EFFICIENTLY
OPERATE.

MID-RANGE (1975-1985)

THE SECOND OF THE GOALS ADDRESSED IN OUR ENERGY PROGRAM
IS THE ELIMINATION, BY 1985, OF OUR NATION'S VULNERABILITY TO

ECONOMIC DISRUPTION BY FOREIGN SUPPLIERS. IN OTHER WORDS,
BY THEN OUR PETROLEUM IMPORTS SHOULD AMOUNT TO ONLY 3-5
MILLION BARRELS PER DAY OF OUR CONSUMPTION, AND WE SHOULD BE
ABLE TO IMPLEMENT STANDBY EMERGENCY MEASURES AND DRAW FROM
STORAGE ENOUGH TO OFFSET A COMPLETE CUTOFF OF THESE REMAINING
IMPORTS.


TO ATTAIN SUCH A GOAL, WE MUST START IMMEDIATELY TO
REMOVE CONSTRAINTS AND PROVIDE NEW INCENTIVES FOR DOMESTIC
PRODUCTION AND CONSERVATION, BECAUSE MOST OF THE MEASURES WILL
TAKE 5-10 YEARS TO REACH FRUITION AFTER THE NECESSARY LAWS ARE
ENACTED. AND ALL OF THESE THINGS MUST BE ACCOMPLISHED
THROUGH A SINGLE PROGRAM THAT HAS THE BALANCE TO BRING ABOUT
THE REQUIRED REDUCTION IN OUR ENERGY USE, THE NECESSARY INCREASE

IN OUR DOMESTIC PRODUCTION, AND -- EQUALLY IMPORTANT AMONG OUR NATIONAL GOALS -- THE CONTINUED ECONOMIC WELL-BEING, ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY, NATIONAL SECURITY, AND SOCIAL WELFARE THAT THE AMERICAN PEOPLE DEMAND AND DESERVE. THERE IS NO PIECEMEAL PROGRAM WHICH CAN PROVIDE THE BALANCE THAT IS REQUIRED. HARD DECISIONS MUST BE MADE FROM THE VERY OUTSET WITHIN THE FRAMEWORK OF OUR OVERALL STRUCTURE.

THE PRESIDENT HAS REAFFIRMED THE INTENT OF THIS ADMINISTRATION TO MOVE AHEAD WITH EXPLORATION, LEASING AND PRODUCTION IN THOSE FRONTIER AREAS OF THE OUTER CONTINENTAL SHELF WHERE THE ENVIRONMENTAL RISKS ARE JUDGED TO BE ACCEPTABLE. HE HAS ALSO ASKED THE CONGRESS TO AUTHORIZE OIL PRODUCTION FROM THE LARGEST OF THE NATION'S NAVAL

PETROLEUM RESERVES, NPR-4 IN ALASKA, TO PROVIDE PETROLEUM FOR THE DOMESTIC ECONOMY, WITH 20% EMBARKED FOR MILITARY NEEDS AND STRATEGIC STORAGE. ACCORDING TO OUR ESTIMATES NPR-4 COULD PRODUCE 2-3 MILLION BARRELS OF OIL PER DAY AND COMMENSURATELY LARGE QUANTITIES OF GAS BY 1985.

BUT, IN ADDITION TO FINDING MORE OIL AND GAS, WE MUST TAKE ADVANTAGE OF OUR MOST ABUNDANT ENERGY RESOURCE, COAL. THE PRESIDENT VETOED THE SURFACE MINING LEGISLATION PASSED BY THE LAST CONGRESS, BUT IT REMAINS A VALUABLE PIECE OF WORK. THE PRESIDENT HAS SUBMITTED A BILL WHICH BUILDS UPON S. 425 IN SUCH A WAY AS TO MAKE IT ACCEPTABLE TO THE ADMINISTRATION. I AND OTHERS IN THE ADMINISTRATION ARE PREPARED TO WORK WITH THE CONGRESS TO ARRIVE AT A SOUND SURFACE MINING LAW.



THE CONGRESS MUST ALSO ACT ON THE ADMINISTRATION'S AMENDMENTS TO GRANT THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY AUTHORITY TO SUSPEND EMISSION LIMITATIONS FOR POWERPLANTS UNTIL LOW SULFUR COAL CAN BE OBTAINED OR STACK GAS SCRUBBERS CAN BE INSTALLED. THE NATION WOULD THUS BE PERMITTED TO REAP THE ENORMOUS BENEFIT OF INCREASED USE OF DOMESTIC COAL UNDER APPROPRIATE ENVIRONMENTAL SAFEGUARDS.

THE CONGRESS SHOULD ALSO AMEND THE CLEAN AIR ACT TO DEAL WITH THE ISSUE OF "SIGNIFICANT DETERIORATION" OF AIR QUALITY.

IN THIS CASE, WE WANT CONGRESS, RATHER THAN THE COURTS,

TO MAKE THE ESSENTIALLY LEGISLATIVE DECISIONS THAT ARE

REQUIRED.

TO ASSURE RAPID COAL PRODUCTION FROM EXISTING LEASES AND TO MAKE NEW, LOW SULFUR SUPPLIES AVAILABLE, THE PRESIDENT HAS

DIRECTED THE INTERIOR DEPARTMENT TO ADOPT LEGAL DILIGENCE REQUIREMENTS FOR EXISTING FEDERAL COAL LEASES AND TO DESIGN A NEW PROGRAM FOR ACCELERATED LEASING OF FEDERAL COAL LANDS.

OF COURSE THE MARKET FOR COAL, AS WELL AS THE AVAILABILITY OF ALL ELECTRIC POWER, DEPENDS UPON THE HEALTH OF THE ELECTRIC UTILITIES INDUSTRY, AND WE MUST ADDRESS ITS PROBLEMS. IN RECENT MONTHS, UTILITIES HAVE CANCELLED OR POSTPONED MORE THAN 60 PERCENT OF PLANNED NUCLEAR EXPANSION AND 30 PERCENT OF PLANNED ADDITIONS TO NON-NUCLEAR CAPACITY. THE DELAYS AND DIFFICULTIES THIS INDUSTRY IS CURRENTLY EXPERIENCING COULD WILL LEAD TO HIGHER OIL IMPORT NEEDS AND INADEQUATE SUPPLIES OF ELECTRICITY 5 TO 10 YEARS FROM NOW.

THE PRESIDENT HAS, THEREFORE, PROPOSED LEGISLATION TO ASSIST THE ELECTRIC UTILITIES THROUGH HIGHER INVESTMENT TAX CREDITS; MANDATED REFORMS IN STATE UTILITY COMMISSION PRACTICES; AND OTHER MEASURES. AND TO REJUVENATE OUR DRIVE TOWARD MORE EFFECTIVE USE OF THE POTENTIALS OF NUCLEAR POWER WE HAVE MARKEDLY INCREASED OUR BUDGET REQUEST FOR NUCLEAR WASTE DISPOSAL AND FOR CONTINUED IMPROVEMENTS IN SAFEGUARDS.

AS WE TAKE THESE ACTIONS TO INCREASE OUR ENERGY SUPPLIES, WE MUST BE AWARE OF SOME POTENTIAL PROBLEMS. BEFORE WE ACHIEVE OUR GOALS OF ENERGY SUFFICIENCY, ACTIONS OF OIL PRODUCING NATIONS, OR ECONOMIC CONDITIONS, COULD RESULT IN LOWER -- BUT UNSTABLE -- PRICE LEVELS THAT COULD WEAKEN OUR CONTINUED COMMITMENT TO GREATER SELF-SUFFICIENCY. THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT MUST TAKE ACTIONS TO ENCOURAGE AND PROTECT DOMESTIC ENERGY INVESTMENT IN

THE FACE OF SIGNIFICANT WORLD PRICE UNCERTAINTY. TO FOSTER SUCH INVESTMENT, THE PRESIDENT HAS REQUESTED LEGISLATION TO AUTHORIZE AND REQUIRE THE USE OF TARIFFS, IMPORT QUOTAS OR OTHER MEASURES TO MAINTAIN ENERGY PRICES AT LEVELS THAT WILL ACHIEVE FULL NATIONAL CAPABILITY FOR SELF-SUFFICIENCY AND PROTECT OUR ENERGY INDUSTRY AND JOBS.

ALL OF THE ACTIONS I HAVE MENTIONED WOULD HAVE THE EFFECT OF INCREASING OUR AVAILABLE DOMESTIC SUPPLIES OF ENERGY. OIL PRODUCTION COULD REACH 13 OR 14 MILLION BARRELS PER DAY VERSUS APPROXIMATELY 9 MILLION TODAY. COAL PRODUCTION COULD DOUBLE AND NUCLEAR GENERATION COULD INCREASE FROM A 4 TO 30% SHARE OF OUR ELECTRIC GENERATION CAPACITY BY 1985.

BUT, AS IN THE SHORT-TERM, SUPPLY ACTIONS ARE NOT ENOUGH. WE MUST DRAMATICALLY CUT OUR HISTORICAL DEMAND GROWTH. WE HAVE

SIGNED AGREEMENTS FROM MAJOR DOMESTIC AUTOMAKERS TO IMPROVE GASOLINE MILEAGE BY 40% ON AVERAGE BY 1980, AS COMPARED TO 1974 MODEL CARS, PROVIDED THAT THE CLEAN AIR ACT AUTOMOBILE EMISSION REQUIREMENTS ARE MODIFIED FOR FIVE YEARS.

THE ENERGY RESOURCES COUNCIL IS DEVELOPING ENERGY EFFICIENCY STANDARDS FOR MAJOR APPLIANCES AND WILL SEEK AGREEMENTS FROM MANUFACTURERS TO ACHIEVE AN AVERAGE 20% IMPROVEMENT IN EFFICIENCY BY 1980. AT THE SAME TIME, DRAFT LEGISLATION HAS BEEN SUBMITTED THAT WOULD REQUIRE LABELS ON AUTOMOBILES AND MAJOR APPLIANCES DISCLOSING ENERGY USE AND EFFICIENCY. TO MOVE QUICKLY WHERE THE PROBLEM HURTS MOST, THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT WILL PROVIDE MONEY TO THE STATES FOR THE PURCHASE OF INSULATION AND OTHER ENERGY CONSERVING DEVICES IN HOMES OWNED OR OCCUPIED BY LOW-INCOME CITIZENS, WHO MIGHT

OTHERWISE NOT BE ABLE TO HAVE SUCH IMPROVEMENTS MADE IN THEIR HOMES. THE PRESIDENT'S PROGRAM ALSO SETS FORTH PROPOSALS TO MANDATE THERMAL EFFICIENCY STANDARDS FOR ALL NEW BUILDINGS IN THE UNITED STATES. SINCE ENERGY SAVINGS ARE EVEN GREATER FOR EXISTING HOMES IT ALSO INCLUDES A PROPOSAL TO INSTITUTE A 15% TAX CREDIT FOR INSULATION INVESTMENTS UP TO \$1,000.

THESE NUMEROUS PROPOSALS AND ACTIONS TAKEN TOGETHER, CAN REDUCE OUR DEPENDENCE ON FOREIGN ENERGY SUPPLIES TO 3 TO 5 MILLION BARRELS OF OIL PER DAY. WHILE THIS DOES NOT SEEM MUCH LESS THAN CURRENT CONSUMPTION, IT IS DOWN SUBSTANTIALLY FROM THE 12-13 MILLION WHICH WE WOULD HAVE TO IMPORT IF WE DID NOT ACT TO ENSURE THAT WE COULD MEET ANY SUPPLY DISRUPTION OF THE REMAINING IMPORTS WE MUST ESTABLISH LEGAL AUTHORITY FOR

EMERGENCY MEASURES THAT CAN BE READILY IMPLEMENTED TO
GUARANTEE THE EQUAL SHARING OF SHORTAGES AND THE EQUITABLE
ALLOCATION OF SUPPLIES AT HOME, AND TO MEET OUR OBLIGATIONS
UNDER THE INTERNATIONAL ENERGY AGREEMENT ABROAD. WE MUST
ALSO BEGIN AS SOON AS POSSIBLE TO DEVELOP A STRATEGIC STORAGE
CAPACITY OF 1 BILLION BARRELS OF OIL FOR DOMESTIC USE AND 300
MILLION BARRELS FOR MILITARY USE. ONLY BY TAKING SUCH
PRECAUTIONS CAN WE ACT RESPONSIBLY BOTH AT HOME AND IN THE
INTERNATIONAL COMMUNITY IN A TIME OF FUTURE SUPPLY INTERRUPTIONS.

ACTION TO MEET THE LONG-TERM (POST 1985) GOAL

FOR THE LONGER TERM, OUR GOAL IS TO SUSTAIN A POSITION OF
ENERGY INDEPENDENCE, AND TO ENHANCE IT SO THAT THE UNITED STATES
WILL AGAIN BE CAPABLE OF SUPPLYING A SIGNIFICANT SHARE OF THE
FREE WORLD'S ENERGY NEEDS.

THIS MEANS THAT, AS A NATION, WE MUST REAFFIRM OUR COMMITMENT TO A STRONG ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM, AIMED NOT ONLY AT DEVELOPING THE CAPABILITY TO TAP ALL OUR MAJOR DOMESTIC ENERGY RESOURCES BUT ALSO AT IMPROVING THE EFFICIENCY OF ENERGY UTILIZATION IN ALL SECTORS OF OUR ECONOMY.

LAST YEAR, THE UNITED STATES COMMITTED ITSELF TO A FIVE-YEAR, \$10 BILLION ENERGY-R&D EFFORT. OUR 1975 ENERGY R&D BUDGET WAS TWICE THAT OF 1974 AND THREE TIMES THAT OF 1973. IN 1976, THIS ACCELERATED EFFORT MUST CONTINUE, AND THE PRESIDENT HAS PLEDGED TO SEEK WHATEVER FUNDS ARE NEEDED FOR FUTURE R&D ACTIVITIES.

NOW THAT WE HAVE A ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION, A FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION AND AN ENERGY RESOURCES COUNCIL, WE HAVE, FOR THE FIRST TIME, BOTH

THE UNIFIED FEDERAL ORGANIZATION AND THE FINANCIAL COMMITMENT
TO GET THE JOB DONE.

BUT ENERGY R&D FUNDS AND ORGANIZATION ARE NOT ENOUGH; WE
ALSO NEED NEW INCENTIVES TO ASSURE THAT EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES
ARE NOT ONLY DEVELOPED IN THE LABORATORY, BUT BROUGHT INTO USE
IN THE MARKETPLACE. THEREFORE, THE PRESIDENT HAS ANNOUNCED A
NATIONAL SYNTHETIC FUELS PROGRAM WHICH WILL ASSURE THE EQUIVA-
LENT OF AT LEAST ONE MILLION BARRELS PER DAY IN SYNTHETIC FUELS
CAPACITY BY 1985. IT WILL ENTAIL A PROGRAM OF FEDERAL INCENTIVES
DESIGNED TO REDUCE PRICE UNCERTAINTY, RAISE CAPITAL AND OVER-
COME UNNECESSARY DELAYS IN BRINGING EXISTING OR NEARLY DEVELOPED
TECHNOLOGIES INTO COMMERCIAL USE. THE PROGRAM WILL RESULT IN
THE COMMERCIAL APPLICATION OF TECHNOLOGIES OF SEVERAL TYPES AND

THE CONSTRUCTION OF MAJOR NEW PLANTS, USING BOTH OIL SHALE AND
COAL RESOURCES.

CONCLUSION

THE PROGRAM IS A COMPREHENSIVE
ONE. IT WILL REACH THE GOALS SET FORTH AND WHICH
I THINK THE AMERICAN PEOPLE WANT. I HAVE HEARD MUCH TALK AND
CRITICISM IN RECENT WEEKS ON ELEMENTS OF IT BUT I HAVE SEEN NO
CONSTRUCTIVE ALTERNATIVE. WE ALL WANT AN EASIER WAY TO REACH
OUR GOALS. THIS PROGRAM DOES REQUIRE SACRIFICE BY ALL, BUT IT
IS ALSO EQUITABLE. FINALLY, ITS IMPACTS ARE FAR OUTWEIGHED BY
THE IMPORTANT BENEFITS IT WILL ACHIEVE.

THANK YOU.

